arXiv:quant-ph/0611184v2 24 Dec 2006

Comment on 'Reply to Yang et al.'s comment'

Jie Yang*and Hao Yuan

Key Laboratory of Optoelectronic Information Acquisition & Manipulation of Ministry of Education of China,

School of Physics & Material Science, Anhui University, Hefei 230039, China

This is a comment on 'Reply to Yang et al.'s comment' by N. Ba An.

In our recent comment[1] on 'Teleportation of two quNit entanglement: Exploiting local resources[2]', we have revealed that, in terms of Ba An's protocol[2] teleportation of two quNit entanglement can not be realized at all due to some mistakes in the protocol. Moreover, in the comment we have also presented two efficient methods to revise Ba An's protocol such that the revised protocol can work.

In Ba An's reply[3] to our comment, he claimed that our first method is complicated and unnecessary for he thought the mistakes in his protocol can be corrected simply by exchanging the subscripts 3 and 4. Hence, he suggested to publish an Erratum to correct the mistakes. But after our careful checks, we think his method of exchanging the subscripts 3 and 4 is still invalid at all, i.e., the mistakes in Ba An's protocol[3] can not be corrected and accordingly the teleportation of two quNit entanglement can not be realized. Therefore, we strongly disagree his suggestion of publishing only an Erratum with thanks to us to correct the mistakes in his paper[2].

It should be emphasized that our first method is indeed valid. In contrast, his so-called simple method of exchanging the subscripts can not make his original protocol valid at all. Incidentally, Ba An[3] has already confirmed the validity of our second method and just simply repeated our this method in his reply.

References

[1] J. Yang, H. Yuan and Z. J. Zhang, quant-ph/0611184.

[2] N. Ba An, Phys. Lett. A 341 (2005) 9.

[3] N. Ba An, quant-ph/0611207.

---

*Corresponding author. Email: yangjies@sina.com

arXiv:quant-ph/0611184v2 24 Dec 2006

Comment on 'Teleportation of two quNit entanglement: Exploiting local resources'

Jie Yang[a*]; Hao Yuan[a] and Zhan-jun Zhang[a,b]

[a] Key Laboratory of Optoelectronic Information Acquisition & Manipulation of Ministry of Education of China,
School of Physics & Material Science, Anhui University, Hefei 230039, China

[b] Department of Physics and Center for Quantum Information Science,
National Cheng Kung University, Tainan 70101, Taiwan

Recently, in Ref.[1] N. Ba An proposed a teleportation protocol, in which the new interesting idea of exploiting local resources to do teleportation [2] is generalized to the case of arbitrary quNits. After carefully rededucting the formulae in Ref.[1], however, we find some of them are wrong. As a consequence, the unitary operations which need to be performed to reconstruct the unknown bipartite entangled state are also incorrect. This means that in terms of N. Ba An's protocol the teleportation of two-quNit entanglement can not be successfully realized. To solve this problem, in this comment we present two different efficient methods to modify some details of N. Ba An's protocol: (1) we correct the wrong formulae and accordingly offer right unitary operations; (2) we redefine some definitions such that the so-called wrong formulae and unitary operations all maintain their original forms. After our modifications, we believe, the revised N. Ba An's protocol can work successfully.

Method 1: For convenience, throughout this method all the definitions, such as the two-qutrit(quNit) maximally entangled (ME) pairs $\{|\Phi_{mn}\rangle\}$, the control change gate, the rotated basis, etc, are all same as those in the Ref.[1].

Along the line of N. Ba An's work [1], we also first consider the case of qutrits. In this case, the whole teleportation process is as follows: (i) Alice has two qutrits 1 and 2 in an entangled state $|\Psi\rangle_{12} = (\alpha|00\rangle + \beta|11\rangle + \gamma|22\rangle)_{12}$. Besides, she also shares a single two-qutrit maximally entangled pair in the state $|\Phi_{00}\rangle_{34}$ with Bob (say, Alice has the qutrit 3 while Bob the qutrit 4). (ii) Alice performs a joint measurement on the 1-3 system in the basis $\{|\Phi_{mn}\rangle_{13}\}$ and measures qutrit 2 in a rotated basis. (iii) After her measurements, Alice tells Bob her two measurement results via classical channel. (iv) Bob introduces an ancillary qutrit 5 and performs the control change gate C_{45} on the 4-5 system. (v) Conditioned on the classical message from Alice, Bob performs an appropriate unitary operation U on the 4-5 system to reconstruct the state $|\Psi\rangle$.

In Ref[1], the total 1-2-3-4 system state in terms of $\{|\Phi_{mn}\rangle_{13}\}$ is written as its formula 2, that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{3} \quad [\quad & (\alpha|00\rangle + \beta|11\rangle + \gamma|22\rangle)_{24}|\Phi_{00}\rangle_{13} + (\alpha|01\rangle + \beta|12\rangle + \gamma|20\rangle)_{24}|\Phi_{01}\rangle_{13} \\
+ \quad & (\alpha|02\rangle + \beta|10\rangle + \gamma|21\rangle)_{24}|\Phi_{02}\rangle_{13} + (\alpha|00\rangle + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\beta|11\rangle + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\gamma|22\rangle)_{24}|\Phi_{10}\rangle_{13} \\
+ \quad & (\alpha|01\rangle + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\beta|12\rangle + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\gamma|20\rangle)_{24}|\Phi_{11}\rangle_{13} + (\alpha|02\rangle + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\beta|10\rangle + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\gamma|21\rangle)_{24}|\Phi_{12}\rangle_{13} \\
+ \quad & (\alpha|00\rangle + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\beta|11\rangle + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\gamma|22\rangle)_{24}|\Phi_{20}\rangle_{13} + (\alpha|01\rangle + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\beta|12\rangle + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\gamma|20\rangle)_{24}|\Phi_{21}\rangle_{13} \\
+ \quad & (\alpha|02\rangle + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\beta|10\rangle + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\gamma|21\rangle)_{24}|\Phi_{22}\rangle_{13}]. \qquad (1)
\end{aligned}
$$

---

[*] Corresponding author. Email: yangjies@sina.com

After our careful redeductions, however, we find N. Ba An's this expression is wrong. The correct one should be

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{3}\quad [\quad & (\alpha|00\rangle + \beta|11\rangle + \gamma|22\rangle)_{24}|\Phi_{00}\rangle_{13} + (\alpha|01\rangle + \beta|12\rangle + \gamma|20\rangle)_{24}|\Phi_{01}\rangle_{13} \\
+ \quad & (\alpha|02\rangle + \beta|10\rangle + \gamma|21\rangle)_{24}|\Phi_{02}\rangle_{13} + (e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\alpha|00\rangle + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\beta|11\rangle + \gamma|22\rangle)_{24}|\Phi_{10}\rangle_{13} \\
+ \quad & (e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\alpha|01\rangle + \beta|12\rangle + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\gamma|20\rangle)_{24}|\Phi_{11}\rangle_{13} + (\alpha|02\rangle + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\beta|10\rangle + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\gamma|21\rangle)_{24}|\Phi_{12}\rangle_{13} \\
+ \quad & (e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\alpha|00\rangle + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\beta|11\rangle + \gamma|22\rangle)_{24}|\Phi_{20}\rangle_{13} + (e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\alpha|01\rangle + \beta|12\rangle + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\gamma|20\rangle)_{24}|\Phi_{21}\rangle_{13} \\
+ \quad & (\alpha|02\rangle + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\beta|10\rangle + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\gamma|21\rangle)_{24}|\Phi_{22}\rangle_{13}].
\end{aligned} \tag{2}
$$

Because of the mistake mentioned just, obviously, in Ref.[1] all those formulae which are correlated to the formula 2 are also wrong. Below we will simply give the correct formulae and point out the correspondence between the present correct formulae and the wrong ones in Ref.[1]. The state of 1-2-3-4-5 system, which is wrongly expressed as the formula 4 in Ref.[1], should be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{3}\quad [\quad & (\alpha|000\rangle + \beta|111\rangle + \gamma|222\rangle)_{245}|\Phi_{00}\rangle_{13} + (\alpha|011\rangle + \beta|122\rangle + \gamma|200\rangle)_{245}|\Phi_{01}\rangle_{13} \\
+ \quad & (\alpha|022\rangle + \beta|100\rangle + \gamma|211\rangle)_{245}|\Phi_{02}\rangle_{13} + (e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\alpha|000\rangle + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\beta|111\rangle + \gamma|222\rangle)_{245}|\Phi_{10}\rangle_{13} \\
+ \quad & (e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\alpha|011\rangle + \beta|122\rangle + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\gamma|200\rangle)_{245}|\Phi_{11}\rangle_{13} + (\alpha|022\rangle + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\beta|100\rangle + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\gamma|211\rangle)_{245}|\Phi_{12}\rangle_{13} \\
+ \quad & (e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\alpha|000\rangle + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\beta|111\rangle + \gamma|222\rangle)_{245}|\Phi_{20}\rangle_{13} + (e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\alpha|011\rangle + \beta|122\rangle + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\gamma|200\rangle)_{245}|\Phi_{21}\rangle_{13} \\
+ \quad & (\alpha|022\rangle + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\beta|100\rangle + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\gamma|211\rangle)_{245}|\Phi_{22}\rangle_{13}].
\end{aligned} \tag{3}
$$

In terms of a rotated basis for qutrit 2, the total state is reexpressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}}\quad \{ \quad & |\Psi_0^0\rangle_{45}[|\tilde{0}\rangle_2|\Phi_{00}\rangle_{13} + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}|\tilde{1}\rangle_2|\Phi_{10}\rangle_{13} + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}|\tilde{2}\rangle_2|\Phi_{20}\rangle_{13}] \\
+ \quad & |\Psi_0^1\rangle_{45}[e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}|\tilde{0}\rangle_2|\Phi_{20}\rangle_{13} + |\tilde{1}\rangle_2|\Phi_{00}\rangle_{13} + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}|\tilde{2}\rangle_2|\Phi_{10}\rangle_{13}] \\
+ \quad & |\Psi_0^2\rangle_{45}[e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}|\tilde{0}\rangle_2|\Phi_{10}\rangle_{13} + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}|\tilde{1}\rangle_2|\Phi_{20}\rangle_{13} + |\tilde{2}\rangle_2|\Phi_{00}\rangle_{13}] \\
+ \quad & |\Psi_1^0\rangle_{45}[|\tilde{0}\rangle_2|\Phi_{01}\rangle_{13} + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}|\tilde{1}\rangle_2|\Phi_{11}\rangle_{13} + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}|\tilde{2}\rangle_2|\Phi_{21}\rangle_{13}] \\
+ \quad & |\Psi_1^1\rangle_{45}[e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}|\tilde{0}\rangle_2|\Phi_{21}\rangle_{13} + |\tilde{1}\rangle_2|\Phi_{01}\rangle_{13} + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}|\tilde{2}\rangle_2|\Phi_{11}\rangle_{13}] \\
+ \quad & |\Psi_1^2\rangle_{45}[e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}|\tilde{0}\rangle_2|\Phi_{11}\rangle_{13} + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}|\tilde{1}\rangle_2|\Phi_{21}\rangle_{13} + |\tilde{2}\rangle_2|\Phi_{01}\rangle_{13}] \\
+ \quad & |\Psi_2^0\rangle_{45}[|\tilde{0}\rangle_2|\Phi_{02}\rangle_{13} + |\tilde{1}\rangle_2|\Phi_{12}\rangle_{13} + |\tilde{2}\rangle_2|\Phi_{22}\rangle_{13}] \\
+ \quad & |\Psi_2^1\rangle_{45}[|\tilde{0}\rangle_2|\Phi_{22}\rangle_{13} + |\tilde{1}\rangle_2|\Phi_{02}\rangle_{13} + |\tilde{2}\rangle_2|\Phi_{12}\rangle_{13}] \\
+ \quad & |\Psi_2^2\rangle_{45}[|\tilde{0}\rangle_2|\Phi_{12}\rangle_{13} + |\tilde{1}\rangle_2|\Phi_{22}\rangle_{13} + |\tilde{2}\rangle_2|\Phi_{02}\rangle_{13}]\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4}
$$

This formula corresponds to the wrong formula 5 in Ref.[1]. In the teleportation the unitary operations which need to be performed to reconstruct the unknown bipartite entangled state are recognized and abstracted from the reexpression in terms of a rotated basis for qutrit 2. Since in Ref.[1] the reexpression(i.e., the formula 5 in Ref.[1]) is wrong, the 9 unitary operations U_{lmn} abstracted from the reexpression and shown in Table 1 of Ref.[1] are of course unreliable anymore. We have anew abstracted the 9 unitary operations U_{lmn} from the present formula 4 and displayed them in the present Table 1. Comparing the present Table with that in Ref.[1], one can easily find some differences. For an example, when Alice's measurement is$\{2, 2, 0\}$, Bob should perform operation $|00\rangle\langle 00| + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}|11\rangle\langle 11| + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}|22\rangle\langle 22|$ on the 4-5 system according to the table in Ref.[1], while Bob needs to do nothing according to the present Table (See the third line of the Table).

Table 1 Bob's operations U_{lmn} performed on the 4-5 system conditioned on Alice's measurement outcome$\{l, m, n\}$

l	m	n	U_{lmn}(Ours)	U_{lmn}(N.BaAn$'$s)
0	0	0	$\hat{I}$	$\hat{I}$
1	1	0	$\hat{I}$	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 00\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 11\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 22\rvert$
2	2	0	$\hat{I}$	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 00\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 11\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 22\rvert$
0	2	0	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 00\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 11\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 22\rvert$	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 00\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 11\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 22\rvert$
1	0	0	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 00\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 11\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 22\rvert$	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 00\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 11\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 22\rvert$
2	1	0	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 00\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 11\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 22\rvert$	$\hat{I}$
0	1	0	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 00\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 11\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 22\rvert$	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 00\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 11\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 22\rvert$
1	2	0	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 00\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 11\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 22\rvert$	$\hat{I}$
2	0	0	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 00\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 11\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 22\rvert$	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 00\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 11\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 22\rvert$
0	0	1	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 11\rvert + \lvert 11\rangle\langle 22\rvert + \lvert 22\rangle\langle 00\rvert$	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 11\rvert + \lvert 11\rangle\langle 22\rvert + \lvert 22\rangle\langle 00\rvert$
1	1	1	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 11\rvert + \lvert 11\rangle\langle 22\rvert + \lvert 22\rangle\langle 00\rvert$	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 11\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 22\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 00\rvert$
2	2	1	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 11\rvert + \lvert 11\rangle\langle 22\rvert + \lvert 22\rangle\langle 00\rvert$	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 11\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 22\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 00\rvert$
0	2	1	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 11\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 22\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 00\rvert$	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 11\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 22\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 00\rvert$
1	0	1	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 11\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 22\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 00\rvert$	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 11\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 22\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 00\rvert$
2	1	1	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 11\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 22\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 00\rvert$	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 11\rvert + \lvert 11\rangle\langle 22\rvert + \lvert 22\rangle\langle 00\rvert$
0	1	1	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 11\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 22\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 00\rvert$	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 11\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 22\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 00\rvert$
1	2	1	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 11\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 22\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 00\rvert$	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 11\rvert + \lvert 11\rangle\langle 22\rvert + \lvert 22\rangle\langle 00\rvert$
2	0	1	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 11\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 22\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 00\rvert$	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 11\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 22\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 00\rvert$
0	0	2	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 22\rvert + \lvert 11\rangle\langle 00\rvert + \lvert 22\rangle\langle 11\rvert$	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 22\rvert + \lvert 11\rangle\langle 00\rvert + \lvert 22\rangle\langle 11\rvert$
1	1	2	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 22\rvert + \lvert 11\rangle\langle 00\rvert + \lvert 22\rangle\langle 11\rvert$	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 22\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 00\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 11\rvert$
2	2	2	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 22\rvert + \lvert 11\rangle\langle 00\rvert + \lvert 22\rangle\langle 11\rvert$	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 22\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 00\rvert + e^{\frac{-2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 11\rvert$
0	2	2	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 22\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 00\rvert + e^{\frac{-2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 11\rvert$	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 22\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 00\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 11\rvert$
1	0	2	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 22\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 00\rvert + e^{\frac{-2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 11\rvert$	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 22\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 00\rvert + e^{\frac{-2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 11\rvert$
2	1	2	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 22\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 00\rvert + e^{\frac{-2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 11\rvert$	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 22\rvert + \lvert 11\rangle\langle 00\rvert + \lvert 22\rangle\langle 11\rvert$
0	1	2	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 22\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 00\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 11\rvert$	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 22\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 00\rvert + e^{\frac{-2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 11\rvert$
1	2	2	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 22\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 00\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 11\rvert$	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 22\rvert + \lvert 11\rangle\langle 00\rvert + \lvert 22\rangle\langle 11\rvert$
2	0	2	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 22\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 00\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 11\rvert$	$\lvert 00\rangle\langle 22\rvert + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 11\rangle\langle 00\rvert + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\lvert 22\rangle\langle 11\rvert$

Now let us turn to the case of quNits. In this case, the error also starts from the expression of the total 1-2-3-4 system state in terms of $|\Phi_{mn}\rangle_{13}$. In Ref[1], this state is written as

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k,n,m=0}^{N-1}\alpha_k e^{-\frac{2\pi ikm}{N}}|\Phi_{mn}\rangle_{13}|k,[k+n]_N\rangle_{24}. \tag{5}$$

After our careful rededuction, however, we believe that the correct expression should be

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k,n,m=0}^{N-1}\alpha_k e^{\frac{2\pi i([n+k]_N+1)m}{N}}|\Phi_{mn}\rangle_{13}|k,[k+n]_N\rangle_{24}. \tag{6}$$

Same as the qutrit case, in Ref.[1] all the following formulae which are correlated to the total 1-2-3-4 system state in terms of $|\Phi_{mn}\rangle_{13}$ are also wrong. The state of 2-4-5 system, which is wrongly expressed as the formula 12 in Ref.[1], should be written as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\alpha_k e^{\frac{2\pi i([n+k]_N+1)m}{N}}|k\rangle_2|[k+n]_N,[k+n]_N\rangle_{45}. \tag{7}$$

In terms of a rotated basis for quNit 2, this state can be reexpressed as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{k,l=0}^{N-1}\alpha_k e^{\frac{2\pi i(km+mn-kl+m)}{N}}|\tilde{l}\rangle_2|[k+n]_N,[k+n]_N\rangle_{45}. \tag{8}$$

If Alice measures quNit 2 and finds $|\tilde{l}\rangle_2$, Bob's two quNits 4 and 5 collapse into the state

$$|\Psi_n^{[l-m]_N}\rangle_{45}=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\alpha_k e^{-\frac{2\pi ik(l-m)}{N}}|[k+n]_N,[k+n]_N\rangle_{45}. \tag{9}$$

Our this corrected expression corresponds to the wrong formula 13 in Ref.(1). From the above formula, the N^2 unitary operations Bob has to perform on his 4-5 system to reconstruct the unknown bipartite entangled state should be expressed as

$$U_n^{[l-m]_N}=\sum_{q=0}^{N-1}e^{\frac{2\pi iq[l-m]_N}{N}}|q,q\rangle_{45}\langle[q+n]_N,[q+n]_N|. \tag{10}$$

where $U_n^{[l-m]_N}$ is identified by $[l-m]_N$ and n. That is to say, if Alice's measurement is $\{l,m,n\}$, the unitary operation Bob should adopt is $U_n^{[l-m]_N}$ rather than $U_n^{[l+m]_N}$ of formula 14 in Ref.[1].

Method 2: By means of careful investigations and rigorous redeductions, fortunately we find the problem that the original N. Ba An's protocol can not work successfully can be solved by redefining some initial definitions. More specifically, in the case of quNits, the two-quNit ME pairs $|\Phi_{mn}\rangle_{XY}$ (i.e., the formula 9 in Ref.[1]) needs to be redefined as

$$|\Phi_{mn}\rangle_{XY}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{q=0}^{N-1}e^{\frac{2\pi iqm}{N}}|q,[N-1-q-n]_N\rangle_{XY}. \tag{11}$$

When $N=3$, this corresponds to the expressions of the two-qutrit ME pairs $|\Phi_{mn}\rangle_{13}$. After this redefinition, the so-called wrong formulae and unitary operations which are revealed in the first method all maintain their original forms in Ref.[1], and thus the N. Ba An's protocol can work successfully.

In conclusion, in this comment we reveal the problem that the teleportation of two-quNit entanglement can not be successfully achieved in terms of the original N. Ba An's protocol[1]. The essential reason arising the problem is that there exist the conflicts between the original definitions and some formulae in Ref.[1]. To solve the problem we present two different efficient methods to modify the original N. Ba An's protocol. After our modifications, the revised N. Ba An's protocol can work successfully.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 60677001 and 10304022, the science-technology fund of Anhui province for outstanding youth under Grant No.06042087, the general fund of the educational committee of Anhui province under Grant No.2006KJ260B, and the key fund of the ministry of education of China under Grant No.206063.

References

[1] N. Ba An, Phys. Lett. A 341 (2005) 9.
[2] M. M. Cola, M. G. A. Paris, Phys. Lett. A 337 (2005) 10.